

58

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/610,572	TAMAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cheryl N Hawkins	1734	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Ex Parte Quayle

(1) Cheryl N Hawkins.

(3) _____.

(2) Carl Schaukowitch.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: March 15, 2004

Time: 9:51 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Cheryl N. Hawkins 3/15/04
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Hawkins telephoned Attorney Schaukowitz to discuss changes to the Brief Description of Drawings, i.e. descriptions for Figures 8 a, b, c; Figures 11 a, b; Figures 12 a, b; Figures 14 a, b; Figures 15 a, b; Figures 16 a, b, c; Figures 17 a, b; and Figures 21 a, b, which are necessary to bring the case into condition for allowance. Attorney Schaukowitz requested that the examiner send out an Ex Parte Quayle to which he would be able to respond by submitting a substitute specification including the required changes.